

**REMARKS****Oath/Declaration**

Further to the Examiner's email request of June 29, 2009 in which he writes:

The reviewing manager has reviewed the application and has determined that a supplemental Oath/Declaration should be filed in this reissue application. The following is the excerpt from the reviewer's communication: "The declaration does not specify an error in the patent to support the broadening made in the reissue. Applicant should specifically point to something in the patent claims which rendered the claim too narrow. See MPEP 1414 (II). Specifying a word or phrase may be sufficient to meet this requirement"

the following new supplemental oath/declaration is submitted.

In accordance with 35 U.S.C. 251, the applicant declares that he believes the original patent to be wholly or partly inoperative or invalid by reason of the patentee claiming more or less than the patentee had the right to claim in the patent.


The following error is being relied upon as a basis for reissue: the only independent claim in the original patent has the limitation of a third support arm. However, it is not necessary for the applicant's invention to include a third support arm. By including this limitation, the patentee claimed less than he had the right to claim. This error is being corrected by inclusion of the instant claim 118 in the reissue application, which claim does not have the limitation of a third support arm.

The foregoing error arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant.

**CONCLUSION**

In view of the above remarks, applicant requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,



Jerry Moscovitch  
Applicant and Inventor